# **Chapter 17**

# **RNA Structure Refinement Using the ERRASER-Phenix Pipeline**

## **Fang-Chieh Chou, Nathaniel Echols, Thomas C. Terwilliger, and Rhiju Das**

#### **Abstract**

The final step of RNA crystallography involves the fitting of coordinates into electron density maps. The large number of backbone atoms in RNA presents a difficult and tedious challenge, particularly when experimental density is poor. The ERRASER-Phenix pipeline can improve an initial set of RNA coordinates automatically based on a physically realistic model of atomic-level RNA interactions. The pipeline couples diffraction-based refinement in Phenix with the Rosetta-based real-space refinement protocol ERRASER (*E*numerative *Real-Space Refinement ASsisted by Electron density under <i>Rosetta*). The combination of ERRASER and Phenix can improve the geometrical quality of RNA crystallographic models while maintaining or improving the fit to the diffraction data (as measured by  $R_{\text{free}}$ ). Here we present a complete tutorial for running ERRASER-Phenix through the Phenix GUI, from the command-line, and via an application in the Rosetta On-line Server that Includes Everyone (ROSIE).

Key words RNA structure, Structure prediction, X-ray crystallography, Refinement, Force field

## **1 Introduction**

Over the last decade, fruitful progress in RNA X-ray crystallography has revealed three-dimensional all-atom models of numerous riboswitches, ribozymes, and ribonucleoprotein machines  $[1-3]$ . Due to the difficulty of manually fitting RNA backbones into experimental density maps, many of these crystallographic models contain myriad unlikely conformations and unusually close contacts as revealed by automated MolProbity tools for geometric evaluation of models  $[4, 5]$  $[4, 5]$  $[4, 5]$ . Inspired by recent advances in ab initio RNA structure prediction  $[6-8]$  and successful applications of the Rosetta modeling suite in crystallographic and electron microscopy density fitting problems  $[9, 10]$  $[9, 10]$  $[9, 10]$ , we developed the ERRASER method and integrated it with Phenix diffraction-based refinement  $[11]$ . In our previous publication  $[12]$ , we demonstrated that the ERRASER-Phenix pipeline resolves the majority of steric clashes

Eric Ennifar (ed.), *Nucleic Acid Crystallography: Methods and Protocols*, Methods in Molecular Biology, vol. 1320, DOI 10.1007/978-1-4939-2763-0\_17, © Springer Science+Business Media New York 2016

and anomalous backbone and bond geometries assessed by MolProbity in a benchmark of 24 RNA crystal structures. Furthermore, this method led to models with similar or better *R*<sub>free</sub>. This chapter describes the details of using ERRASER in three easily accessible ways: by a GUI in the Phenix package, the commandline, and the ROSIE server [13].

#### **2 Materials**

The ERRASER-Phenix pipeline relies on two software toolkits: the Rosetta modeling suite  $[14]$  and the Phenix package  $[11]$ . Both toolkits are currently officially supported on Linux and Mac-OS X platforms. (Phenix is available on Windows; Rosetta might be compiled in Windows using Cygwin but is not officially supported and well-tested.) To run the pipeline locally, the user needs to have the following versions of the above toolkits installed on their computer:

Rosetta (version 3.5) [http://www.rosettacommons.org/ .](http://www.rosettacommons.org/) Phenix (version 1.8.3) [http://www.phenix-online.org/ .](http://www.phenix-online.org/)

Both Rosetta and Phenix are freely available to academic and non-profit institutions. Details of downloading, licensing, and the installation instructions can be found in the above listed websites. Phenix installation instructions can be found at [http://www.](http://www.phenix-online.org/documentation/install.htm) [phenix- online.org/documentation/install.htm](http://www.phenix-online.org/documentation/install.htm) . On Mac OS X systems, the installation simply consists of downloading a .dmg file and double-clicking the icon. On Linux systems it consists of unpacking a tar archive and running an installation script. Instructions for Rosetta installation compatible with Phenix and ERRASER can be found at [http://www.phenix-online.org/docu](http://www.phenix-online.org/documentation/erraser.htm)[mentation/erraser.htm](http://www.phenix-online.org/documentation/erraser.htm) .

It is also possible to run the ERRASER part of the pipeline online and privately using the ROSIE server [\( http://rosie.rosettacommons.org/ \)](http://rosie.rosettacommons.org/).

## **3 Methods**

The standard ERRASER-Phenix pipeline consists of three major stages: an initial Phenix refinement, followed by iterative ERRASER refinement, and a final Phenix refinement (Fig.  $1$ ). Here the initial Phenix refinement can be skipped if the input structure has already been refined with all hydrogen atoms included in the model. In general, we find that maintaining hydrogen atoms during diffraction-based refinement tends to give models with better geometrical quality, particularly with regards to steric interactions, as assessed by the MolProbity clashscore. Since ERRASER

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

 **Fig. 1** Flow chart of the ERRASER-Phenix pipeline

performs only real-space refinement, a final diffraction-based refinement is necessary to fit the model directly to the original data and evaluate  $R_{\text{free}}$  statistics. We have carried out tests using the Phenix refinement tool for these two refinement stages  $[15]$ , but users should be able to substitute in refinement tools if preferred (e.g. SHELXL  $[16]$ , Refmac  $[17]$ , CNS  $[18]$ , etc.).

In the sections below, we will focus on the details of the ERRASER refinement stage. We will mainly briefly describe how to run ERRASER using the Phenix GUI interface, and briefly describe how to run ERRASER using shell command lines and ROSIE web server. Finally, we discuss some settings and options we found useful in the Phenix refinement of RNA.



In addition, the user should ensure that there are no missing heavy atoms in the model, or ERRASER may not run properly.

Currently, ERRASER supports input  $2mF<sub>o</sub>-DF<sub>c</sub>$  density maps in the CCP4 format. It is important to exclude  $R_{\text{free}}$  diffraction data during map creation to ensure that ERRASER is not influenced by the set-aside data and that final *R*<sub>free</sub> values are appropriate for cross-validation. All density data covering the entire unit cell should be included to allow ERRASER to correctly evaluate the correlation to density during the sampling. Here, we demonstrate the process of creating the density map using the "calculate maps" GUI in Phenix [19]. In the GUI window, click the "CCP4 or XPLOR Maps" button, and a window will pop out. In the new window, select the following options (Fig. 2): *3.2.2 Density Map File*

Map type: 2mFo-DFc.

File format: CCP4.

Map region: Unit cell.

Enable the following three options:

- 1. "Kicked."
- 2. "Fill missing f obs."
- 3. "Exclude free r reflections."

Other options are kept default. Here the "kicked" option makes use of the kicked map algorithm to improve the map quality and to reduce map bias [19]. The "Fill missing f obs" option will



 **Fig. 2** Snapshot and useful options of the phenix.maps utility

allow Phenix to fill missing experimental diffraction data  $(F_{obs})$  with calculated diffraction  $(F_{\text{calc}})$ , thereby avoiding Fourier truncation errors. We found that these two options lead to better ERRASER results (e.g., in terms of final *R*<sub>free</sub>) in many cases. "Exclude free r reflections" ensures that the test set of reflections is not included. If you fail to check this option then your final  $R_{\text{free}}$  may be biased and be misleadingly low.

It is straightforward to run ERRASER using the Phenix GUI. Click on "Refinement"-> "ERRASER" in the main Phenix GUI to access the ERRASER GUI (Fig. 3). As a quick start, put in a PDB file and a corresponding CCP4 map using the "Input PDB" and "CCP4 map" boxes. Then click on the "Run" button. ERRASER will run in a new tab. Descriptions of ERRASER options that the user may wish to explore are given below in Subheading [3.5](#page-6-0). *3.3 A Simple ERRASER Job Example*

> After refinement is complete, ERRASER runs several validation metrics from MolProbity  $[4, 5]$  $[4, 5]$  as implemented in Phenix. Results are summarized along with output files in a new tab (Fig. [4](#page-5-0)), with buttons to load the results in Coot and PyMOL. The validation display is identical to the all-atom contact and RNA-specific components in the Phenix GUI, which interact directly with Coot  $[20]$ . These include:

- Steric clashes  $[21]$ , defined as atomic overlaps of at least 0.4 Å when explicit hydrogen atoms are present.
- RNA bond length and angle geometry outliers, which has values > 4 s.d. from the Phenix reference values.



 **Fig. 3** The main window of the Phenix ERRASER GUI

<span id="page-5-0"></span>

 **Fig. 4** Output window of the ERRASER GUI

- RNA pucker outliers. RNA sugar rings typically adopt either C2′-endo or C3′-endo conformation, known as the pucker. MolProbity can confidently identify erroneous puckers by measuring the perpendicular distance between the 3′-phosphate and the glycosidic bond vector.
- RNA "suite" outliers. Previous research  $[22, 23]$  $[22, 23]$  shows that most of the RNA backbone "suites" (sets of two consecutive sugar puckers with five connecting backbone torsions) fall into 54 rotameric classes. Non-rotameric outlier "suites" are likely to be problematic.

Phenix also outputs a "Validation summary" text file that compares the model before and after the ERRASER refinement, which can be opened from the GUI by clicking on the corresponding button. In addition to the standard MolProbity metrics above, the "Validation summary" also gives a list of *χ* angle (glycosidic bond torsion) flips by ERRASER (see **Note 1**).

The users can use this "Validation summary" list to guide their manual inspection of the post-ERRASER model. If during the inspection, the users think that any residue is not properly rebuilt in the standard ERRASER run, they might try to rebuild such residue with the single-residue rebuilding mode described below.

#### <span id="page-6-0"></span>For model regions with low density or for which other information is known (e.g., based on homology to a high-resolution structure), ERRASER's top picks for residue conformations may not be optimal. In addition, ERRASER might not always resolve all errors in the models and might, in a few cases, lead to new errors in the model while fixing other errors. In such cases, the user may want to inspect other possibilities for residue conformations. Therefore, in addition to the standard ERRASER protocol described above, there is a single-residue rebuilding mode available in ERRASER. In this mode, the user selects a particular residue in the complete structure and rebuilds it using the ERRASER algorithm. Up to ten different models, sorted by their relative ERRASER score, are returned as output which the user can then inspect. *3.4 Single-Residue Rebuilding Mode*

The user can run the single-residue mode under the same GUI interface similar to the standard ERRASER application. After inputting the PDB file and CCP4 map file, click on the "single residue rebuilding mode" checkbox, and input the residue to be rebuilt in the "Residue to be rebuilt" box. The format of input residue is chain ID followed by residue number, e.g., "A35" or "F152." Then click "Run" button to execute the program. After completion, the generated models and validation results are displayed in the summary tab. The validation includes the "suite," pucker, and glycosidic torsion assignments, as well as the final ERRASER scores.

- The following options can be found in the main window of the ERRASER GUI: *3.5 Available Options in ERRASER*
- It is usually a good idea to provide the resolution of the input density map, so that ERRASER can give a more accurate estimation of the fit between the model and the input map. If not provided, ERRASER will assume a default value of 2.5 Å. *3.5.1 Map File Resolution*
- The total number of iterations of the ERRASER cycles (Fig.  $1$ ). By default it is 1. The user can increase the number to perform multicycle ERRASER refinement. We recommend not to use any value greater than 3. Beyond 3 iterations, the ERRASER model will most likely be converged, and it is a waste of time to continue the iterations. *3.5.2 Iterations*
- To speed up the ERRASER rebuilding process, by default ERRASER only considers conformations within 3.0 Å RMSD of the input model during the sampling of each residue. The user can change this cutoff RMSD value by editing this option. If the screen RMSD is set to be larger than 10.0 Å, ERRASER will simply skip the RMSD screening step. *3.5.3 Screen RMSD*

This is for the single-residue rebuilding mode only. *See* Subheading [3.4.](#page-6-0) *3.5.4 Residue to be Rebuilt*

This is for the single-residue rebuilding mode only. *See* Subheading [3.4](#page-6-0). *3.5.5 Single-Residue Rebuilding Mode*

By default ERRASER will only rebuild residues that are assessed by MolProbity as having outlier pucker, suite, bond length or bond angle, or residues that moved significantly during the Rosetta minimization step. Selecting this option forces ERRASER to rebuild all residues in the model. In general, however, we recommend using the default rebuilding protocol. Rebuilding all residues is likely to be a time-consuming process without obvious model improvement. In some cases such excessive rebuilding might even lead to worse models, due to artifacts in the input density map and the Rosetta scoring function. If the user wishes to rebuild some specific residues, use the "Extra residues to be rebuilt" option below. *3.5.6 Rebuild all Residues*

This option is for debugging only. Turning on this option will generate a lot of output and slow down the code. If you find a bug, it might be a good idea to run this mode and send all the output to the developers to aid diagnosis (bugs@phenix-online.org). *3.5.7 Debugging Output*

> The following options are accessible by clicking the "Other settings" button in the GUI.

This option allows the user to specify residues that should be kept fixed throughout the ERRASER refinement. The format is "A32", where A is the ID of the chain and 32 is the residue number. The user can also input "A22-30" to fix all residues from A22 to A30. This option is especially useful when the RNA contains ligands, modified nucleobases, or strong crystal contacts, none of which are currently modeled in ERRASER. In these cases, residues near to these unmodeled parts of the molecule might get rebuilt into unreasonable conformations due to absence of critical interactions to the unmodeled parts. It is therefore necessary to fix these residues using the "fixed residues" option. *See* **Note 2** for general suggestions on determining the necessary fixed residues. *3.5.8 Fixed Residues*

This option allows the user to specify particular residues in the model that ERRASER must rebuild regardless of whether it has apparent errors. The format is the same as "fixed residues" above. *3.5.9 Extra Residues to Rebuild*

Syn conformers of pyrimidines (U and C) are rare in RNA structures. By default, ERRASER only samples syn conformers of pyrimidine residue if the user supplies an input residue with the syn conformer. The purpose is, first, to speed up the computation, and second, to avoid possibly problematic syn pyrimidine conformers that show up in the final models due to artifacts of the electron *3.5.10 Native Syn Only Pyrimidine*



to rebuild residue A25. The input map resolution is also given as 2.0 Å.

<span id="page-9-0"></span>

 **Fig. 5** The phenix.erraser command line application

An alternative way of running ERRASER is to use to the ROSIE online server (Fig. [6\)](#page-10-0). In this way, the user does not have to install Phenix and Rosetta locally. The ROSIE ERRASER app can be found at [http://rosie.rosettacommons.org/erraser/ .](http://rosie.rosettacommons.org/erraser/) Simply follow the online documentation to run the job. ROSIE can run ERRASER in both standard mode and single-residue rebuilding mode. Note that the ROSIE server has fewer tunable options than local installation. For example, users cannot currently carry out multiple iterations of an ERRASER run (it is still possible manually; *see* **Note 3**). One additional warning: the memory on each core of the ROSIE backend is limited; therefore the job may crash if the user inputs a very large electron density map file in ROSIE. The refinement tool in Phenix can be accessed by the phenix.refine GUI from the main Phenix window. Comprehensive documentation for the phenix.refine GUI can be found in the Phenix website (http://www.phenix-online.org/documentation/refinement.htm). Here we will discuss some non-default options that we found useful in refining RNA crystallographic models produced by ERRASER. All of the options discussed below can be found under the "refinement" setting" tab in the phenix.refine GUI. Since the refinement setting is sensitive to the initial model and diffraction data, there is no generic rule in setting up the refinement that works in all cases. The user can monitor the change of  $R$ ,  $R_{\text{free}}$  and other geometric validation results of the refinement outputs to help decide whether the selected refinement strategy is appropriate. *3.7 Running ERRASER Using the ROSIE Server 3.8 Advice for Phenix RNA Refi nement*

<span id="page-10-0"></span>

 **Fig. 6** The ROSIE ERRASER server application



*3.8.4 Targets and Weighting: Optimize X-ray/Stereochemistry Weights*

This option enables Phenix to perform a grid search to find the best X-ray/Stereochemistry weight ratio during each refinement cycle. In some cases this option leads to better  $R$  and  $R_{\text{free}}$ , but in other cases it is better to use a constant X-ray/Stereochemistry weight (see below). For best refinement results, the user can try both and select the best model.



ment. This procedure removes crystallographic waters lacking evident electron density and adds waters to locations with strong unoccupied electron density. In general we found this algorithm improved the model and reduced  $R$  and  $R_{\text{free}}$ . *Update Waters*

## **4 Notes**

- 1. ERRASER can introduce flips of the  $\chi$  angles (glycosidic torsion) during the run; we have shown previously that many of these flips are accurate when comparisons can be made of ERRASER-refined models to higher resolution data sets. If "constrain chi" or "native syn only pyrimidine" options are turned off,  $\chi$  angle flips are made more often, and some of these flips may be incorrect. In low-resolution density maps  $(>2.5 \text{ Å})$ , it can be hard to determine the glycosidic conformer, especially for pyrimidines. While most  $\chi$  angle flips are reasonable, there are cases where the flips are likely to be incorrect. We recommend the user to inspect visually all the  $\chi$  angle flips before proceeding with the final model. Here are some general suggestions on examining the  $\chi$  angle flips: First, syn conformers are rare, especially for syn pyrimidines. Any anti-to-syn flips should be closely examined. Unless there is strong electron density evidence or new hydrogen bond interactions, the flips are likely to be problematic. Syn-to-anti flips are more likely to be correct, but the user should still examine the models to make sure no important hydrogen-bonding interactions are broken during the flips.
- 2. Currently ERRASER does not handle crystal contacts, ligands and modified residues during the refinement. To address these

<span id="page-12-0"></span>issues, the user can use the "fixed residues" option. Residues contacting ligands and residues in crystal contacts can be fixed by adding them to the list of "fixed residues." For modified residues, one should further constrain the residues which the modified residue is directly bonded to. For circular RNA or lariat connections, one can constrain the residues with additional bonds to ensure the bond does not break during ERRASER refinement. An alternative way to handle crystal contacts is to manually add in the crystal packing partners during ERRASER step; this can be achieved using the symexp utility in PyMol. Usually it is unnecessary to add in the entire molecules of the crystal packing partners; one can cut out just the relevant residues nearby. These extra add-in residues should be removed from the final ERRASER models before Phenix refinement.

3. To manually iterate ERRASER refinement in ROSIE, simply use the output pdb file of the first job as the input pdb file for a second job, and use the same input density map and options as the first iteration.

#### **5 Acknowledgements**

The authors would like to thank Sergey Lyskov for implementing and maintaining the ROSIE Rosetta server, and members of the Rosetta and the Phenix communities for discussions and code sharing. The authors acknowledge support from Howard Hughes International Student Research Fellowship (F.C.), Stanford BioX graduate student fellowship (F.C.), Burroughs-Wellcome Career Award at Scientific Interface (R.D.), NIH grants R21 GM102716 (R.D.) and P01 GM063210 (P.D. Adams, PI, to N.E. and T.T.).

#### **References**

- 1. Golden BL, Kim H, Chase E (2005) Crystal structure of a phage Twort group I ribozymeproduct complex. Nat Struct Mol Biol 12: 82–89
- 2. Serganov A, Huang L, Patel DJ (2008) Structural insights into amino acid binding and gene control by a lysine riboswitch. Nature 455:1263–1267
- 3. Dunkle JA, Wang L, Feldman MB, Pulk A, Chen VB, Kapral GJ, Noeske J, Richardson JS, Blanchard SC, Cate JH (2011) Structures of the bacterial ribosome in classical and hybrid states of tRNA binding. Science 332:981–984
- 4. Davis IW, Leaver-Fay A, Chen VB, Block JN, Kapral GJ, Wang X, Murray LW, Arendall WB

3rd, Snoeyink J, Richardson JS, Richardson DC (2007) MolProbity: all-atom contacts and structure validation for proteins and nucleic acids. Nucleic Acids Res 35(suppl 2): W375–W383

- 5. Chen VB, Arendall WB 3rd, Headd JJ, Keedy DA, Immormino RM, Kapral GJ, Murray LW, Richardson JS, Richardson DC (2010) MolProbity: all-atom structure validation for macromolecular crystallography. Acta Cryst D 66:12–21
- 6. Das R, Baker D (2007) Automated de novo prediction of native-like RNA tertiary structures. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 104: 14664–14669
- <span id="page-13-0"></span> 7. Das R, Karanicolas J, Baker D (2010) Atomic accuracy in predicting and designing noncanonical RNA structure. Nat Methods 7: 291–294
- 8. Sripakdeevong P, Kladwang W, Das R (2011) An enumerative stepwise ansatz enables atomic-accuracy RNA loop modeling. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 108:20573–20578
- 9. DiMaio F, Terwilliger TC, Read RJ, Wlodawer A, Oberdorfer G, Wagner U, Valkov E, Alon A, Fass D, Axelrod HL, Das D, Vorobiev SM, Iwaï H, Pokkuluri PR, Baker D (2011) Improved molecular replacement by densityand energy-guided protein structure optimization. Nature 473:540–543
- 10. DiMaio F, Tyka MD, Baker ML, Chiu W, Baker D (2009) Refinement of protein structures into low-resolution density maps using Rosetta. J Mol Biol 392:181–190
- 11. Adams PD, Afonine PV, Bunkoczi G, Chen VB, Davis IW, Echols N, Headd JJ, Hung LW, Kapral GJ, Grosse-Kunstleve RW, McCoy AJ, Moriarty NW, Oeffner R, Read RJ, Richardson DC, Richardson JS, Terwilligen TC, Zwart PH (2010) PHENIX: a comprehensive Python-based system for macromolecular structure solution. Acta Cryst D 66: 213–221
- 12. Chou FC, Sripakdeevong P, Dibrov SM, Hermann T, Das R (2013) Correcting pervasive errors in RNA crystallography through enumerative structure prediction. Nat Methods 10:74–76
- 13. Lyskov S, Chou FC, Conchuir SO, Der BS, Drew K, Kuroda D, Xu J, Weitzner BD, Renfrew PD, Sripakdeevong P, Borgo B, Havranek JJ, Kuhlman B, Kortemme T, Bonneau R, Gray JJ, Das R (2013) Serverification of molecular modeling applications: the Rosetta online server that includes everyone (ROSIE). PLoS One 8:e63906
- 14. Leaver-Fay A, Tyka M, Lewis SM, Lange OF, Thompson J, Jacak R, Kaufman K, Renfrew PD, Smith CA, Sheffler W, Davis IW, Cooper S, Treuille A, Mandell DJ, Richter F, Ban YE, Fleishman SJ, Corn J, Kortemme T, Gray JJ, Kuhlman B, Baker D, Bradley P (2011) ROSETTA3: an object-oriented software suite

for the simulation and design of macromolecules. Methods Enzymol 487:545–574

- 15. Afonine PV, Grosse-Kunstleve RW, Echols N, Headd JJ, Moriarty NW, Mustyakimov M, Terwilliger TC, Urzhumtsev A, Zwart PH, Adams PD (2012) Towards automated crystallographic structure refinement with phenix. refine. Acta Cryst D 68:352-367
- 16. Sheldrick G (2008) A short history of SHELX. Acta Cryst A 64:112–122
- 17. Vagin AA, Steiner RA, Lebedev AA, Potterton L, McNicholas S, Long F, Murshdov GN (2004) REFMAC5 dictionary: organization of prior chemical knowledge and guidelines for its use. Acta Cryst D 12:2184–2195
- 18. Brunger AT (2007) Version 1.2 of the crystallography and NMR system. Nat Protocols 2:2728–2733
- 19. Praznikar J, Afonine PV, Guncar G, Adams PD, Turk D (2009) Averaged kick maps: less noise, more signal…and probably less bias. Acta Cryst D 65:921–931
- 20. Echols N, Grosse-Kunstleve RW, Afonine PV, Bunkoczi G, Chen VB, Headd JJ, McCoy AJ, Moriarty NW, Read RJ, Richardsson DC, Richardson JS, Terwillerger TC, Adams PD (2012) Graphical tools for macromolecular crystallography in PHENIX. J Appl Crystallogr 45:581–586
- 21. Word JM, Lovell SC, LaBean TH, Taylor HC, Zalis ME, Presley BK, Richardson JS, Richardson DC (1999) Visualizing and quantifying molecular goodness-of-fit: small-probe contact dots with explicit hydrogen atoms. J Mol Biol 285:1711–1733
- 22. Murray LJW, Arendall WB, Richardson DC, Richardson JS (2003) RNA backbone is rotameric. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 100: 13904–13909
- 23. Richardson JS, Schneider B, Murray LW, Kapral GJ, Immormino RM, Headd JJ, Richardson DC, Ham D, Hershkovits E, Williams LD, Keating KS, Pyle AM, Micallef D, Westbrook J, Berman HM, RNA Ontology Consortium (2008) RNA backbone: consensus all-angle conformers and modular string nomenclature (an RNA Ontology Consortium contribution). RNA 14:465–481